

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/960,613	KRULIK, GERALD A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Michael I. Falkoff.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 December 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as applied in the prior Office action dated 3-26-03

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy in view of page 2 lines 1-18 and page 6 lines 1-20 of the instant specification.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)